## Problem Set for the 2015 Princeton Initiative

Based on Yuliy's and Markus's lectures

This is an optional problem set. You are welcome to do it. If you e-mail your solutions to sannikov@gmail.com by Monday September 28, then I will e-mail you my own solutions. Please BOX your answers.

**Problem 1.** This problem is based on the I-Theory of Money. Consider the following idiosyncratic-shock economy with identical agents (i.e. there are no intermediaries) who have CRRA preferences with risk aversion coefficient  $\gamma$  and discount rate  $\rho$ . Agents choose portfolios of capital and money. The goal is to characterize equilibrium prices of capital and money, q and p. That is, if the aggregate amount of capital is  $K_t$ , then the value of all capital is  $qK_t$  and the value of all money is  $pK_t$ .

Assume that capital held by any agent follows

$$\frac{dk_t}{k_t} = (\Phi(\iota) - \delta) dt + \tilde{\sigma} d\tilde{Z}_t,$$

where  $\tilde{Z}_t$  denotes the agent's idiosyncratic Brownian motion (these shocks are independent across agents and cancel out in the aggregate). Capital  $k_t$  produces output at rate  $(a - \iota)k_t$ .

(a) Write down an expression for the return on capital, given price q. What is the first-order condition for the investment rate  $\iota$  that maximizes return?

(b) Write down an expression for the return on money, assuming that money has positive value in equilibrium. What is the real risk-free rate in this economy?

(c) Given these returns, and given q, what is the optimal portfolio allocation to capital of an agent with constant relative risk aversion coefficient  $\gamma$ ? Hint: With constant investment opportunities, the volatility of consumption is proportional to the volatility of net worth.

(d) With these investment opportunities, what is the optimal consumption rate of an agent with net worth  $n_t$ ? Hint: To answer this question, you need to derive or look up the ratio of consumption to net worth for an agent with CRRA preferences and constant investment opportunities.

(e) Now, assume that function  $\Phi(\iota)$  is fully inelastic, i.e. agents must invest  $\iota \in (0, a)$ , resulting in the growth of  $\Phi(\iota)$ . Assume that

$$\rho + (\gamma - 1)(\Phi(\iota) - \delta) > 0.$$

Under what condition can money have positive value in equilibrium? If this condition holds, derive the equilibrium prices of money and capital.

**Problem 2.** The goal of this problem is solving a variation of the model of Di Tella (2014), allowing for firesales. Suppose that there are two types of agents, experts and households. When managed by any agent, capital follows

$$\frac{dk_t}{k_t} = (\Phi(\iota_t) - \delta) dt + \sigma dZ_t + \phi \nu_t d\tilde{Z}_t,$$
(1)

where  $Z_t$  is aggregate risk common to all and  $\tilde{Z}_t$  is idiosyncratic agent-specific risk (and  $\phi \nu_t d\tilde{Z}_t$  is the portion of idiosyncratic risk that cannot be traded due to agency frictions). Idiosyncratic uncertainty  $\nu_t$  follows a Markov process, e.g.

$$d\nu_t = \lambda(\bar{\nu} - \nu_t) \, dt + \sigma_\nu \sqrt{\nu_t} \, dZ_t,$$

where  $Z_t$  is the same aggregate Brownian motion as that in (1). Capital  $k_t$  produces output  $(a - \iota_t)k_t$  when held by experts. For now, assume that capital cannot be held by households - later on we relax this assumption.

As in Di Tella (2014), assume that aggregate risk  $dZ_t$  can be traded between experts and households and carries the equilibrium price of risk of  $\pi_t$ . Then the return on capital when held by experts is

$$dr_t^k = \left(\frac{a-\iota_t}{q_t} + \Phi(\iota_t) - \delta + \mu_t^q + \sigma\sigma_t^q\right) dt + (\sigma + \sigma_t^q) dZ_t + \phi\nu_t d\tilde{Z}_t,$$

and so any individual expert earns the premium of

$$\tilde{\pi}_t = \frac{E[dr_t^k]/dt - \pi_t(\sigma + \sigma_t^q) - r_t^F}{\phi\nu_t},$$

where  $r_t^F$  is the risk-free rate, for each unit of idiosyncratic risk that this expert chooses to get exposed to.

Hence, the net worth of an individual expert follows

$$\frac{dn_t}{n_t} = \left(r_t^F + \sigma_t^n \pi_t + \tilde{\pi}_t \tilde{\sigma}_t^n\right) dt - \frac{c_t}{n_t} dt + \sigma_t^n dZ_t + \tilde{\sigma}_t^n d\tilde{Z}_t,$$

where  $c_t$  is the expert's consumption.

The net worth of an individual household, that cannot hold capital, follows

$$\frac{dh_t}{h_t} = \left(r_t^F + \sigma_t^h \pi_t\right) dt - \frac{c_t}{h_t} dt + \sigma_t^h dZ_t.$$

Suppose that all agents have logarithmic utility, experts have discount rate  $\rho$  and households,  $r \leq \rho$ .

(a) Given  $\pi_t$ , what is the expert's choice  $\sigma_t^n$  of their exposure to aggregate risk? How about that of households,  $\sigma_t^h$ ?

(b) Denote the aggregate net worth of experts by  $N_t$  and the aggregate net worth of households by  $q_t K_t - N_t$ . If experts hold all the capital, then what is the exposure  $\tilde{\sigma}_t^n$  to idiosyncratic risk of any individual expert? What is the value of  $\tilde{\pi}_t$  in equilibrium, as a function of  $\nu_t$  and  $\eta_t = N_t/(q_t K_t)$ ?

(c) Given your answers in parts (a) and (b), aggregate net worth and derive the law of motion of  $\eta_t$  in equilibrium.

(d) Assuming that  $\Phi(\iota) = \log(\kappa \iota + 1)/\kappa$ , what is the equilibrium price of capital  $q_t$ ?

For the rest of the problem, assume that households can also buy capital, but if they do so, they get a lower output rate of  $\underline{a} < a$  than experts. We want to understand the equilibrium allocation of capital as well as dynamics, i.e. the joint law of motion of the state variables  $(\nu_t, \eta_t)$ .

Denote by  $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}_t$  the premium that households earn per unit of idiosyncratic risk, if they hold capital directly. Thus, the net worth of an individual household follows

$$\frac{dh_t}{h_t} = \left(r_t^F + \sigma_t^h \pi_t + \tilde{\sigma}_t^h \underline{\tilde{\pi}}_t\right) dt - \frac{c_t}{h_t} dt + \sigma_t^h dZ_t + \tilde{\sigma}_t^h d\overline{Z}_t.$$

(e) Since households are less productive,  $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}_t < \overline{\pi}_t$ . What is the difference  $\tilde{\pi}_t - \underline{\tilde{\pi}}_t$ , expressed in terms of  $\nu_t$ ,  $q_t$  and model parameters?

(f) Under what conditions on  $\nu_t$ ,  $\eta_t$  and  $q_t$  will households want to hold a positive amount of capital? In this case, express  $\psi_t$ , the fraction of capital that experts hold, as a function of  $\nu_t$ ,  $\eta_t$ ,  $q_t$  and model parameters. Hint: Consider what happens when all capital is held by experts - under what conditions is the premium on household idiosyncratic risk high enough for households to want to buy some capital?

(g) Assuming that  $\Phi(\iota) = \log(\kappa \iota + 1)/\kappa$ , for what values of  $(\eta_t, \nu_t)$  will households hold a positive amount of capital? In this region, find the equilibrium allocation  $\psi(\eta, \nu)$  and price  $q(\eta, \nu)$ .

(h) Derive the law of motion of  $\eta_t$  in the region where  $\psi_t < 1$ . Please do not plug in your expression for  $\psi(\eta, \nu)$  to express your answer (it'll be too messy), rather leave  $\psi(\eta, \nu)$  as a function.